arXiv:math/0210239v1 [math.DG] 16 Oct 2002

# Willmore Submanifolds in a sphere [*]

Haizhong Li

**Abstract:** Let $x : M \to S^{n+p}$ be an $n$-dimensional submanifold in an $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$, $x : M \to S^{n+p}$ is called a Willmore submanifold if it is a extremal submanifold to the following Willmore functional:

$$\int_M (S - nH^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} dv,$$

where $S = \sum\limits_{\alpha,i,j} (h^\alpha_{ij})^2$ is the square of the length of the second fundamental form, $H$ is the mean curvature of $M$. In [13], author proved an integral inequality of Simons' type for $n$-dimensional compact Willmore hypersurfaces in $S^{n+1}$ and gave a characterization of *Willmore tori*. In this paper, we generalize this result to $n$-dimensional compact Willmore submanifolds in $S^{n+p}$. In fact, we obtain an integral inequality of Simons' type for compact Willmore submanifolds in $S^{n+p}$ and give a characterization of *Willmore tori* and *Veronese surface* by use of our integral inequality.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C42, 53A10.
Key words and phrases: Willmore functional, integral inequality, Willmore tori, Veronese surface.

## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional compact submanifold of an $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere space $S^{n+p}$. If $h^\alpha_{ij}$ denotes the second fundamental form of $M$, $S$ denotes the square of the length of the second fundamental form, $\mathbf{H}$ denotes the mean curvature vector and $H$ denotes the mean curvature of $M$, then we have

$$S = \sum_{\alpha,i,j} (h^\alpha_{ij})^2, \quad \mathbf{H} = \sum_\alpha H^\alpha e_\alpha, \quad H^\alpha = \frac{1}{n}\sum_k h^\alpha_{kk}, \quad H = |\mathbf{H}|,$$

where $e_\alpha$ $(n+1 \le \alpha \le n+p)$ are orthonormal normal vector fields of $M$ in $S^{n+p}$.

We define the following non-negative function on $M$

$$\rho^2 = S - nH^2, \tag{1.1}$$

---

[*] The author is supported by a research fellowship of the Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung 2001/2002 and the Zhongdian grant of the NSFC.

which vanishes exactly at the umbilic points of $M$.

Willmore functional is the following non-negative functional (see [4], [21] or [27])

$$\int_M \rho^n dv = \int_M (S - nH^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} dv,$$

it was shown in [4], [21] and [27] that this functional is an invariant under Moebius (or conformal) transformations of $S^{n+p}$. We use the term *Willmore submanifolds* to call its critical points, because when $n = 2$, the functional essentially coincides with the well-known Willmore functional $W(x)$ and its critical points are the Willmore surfaces. Willmore conjecture says that $W(x) \geq 4\pi^2$ holds for all immersed tori $x : M \to S^3$. The conjecture was approached by Willmore [30], Li-Yau [18], Montiel-Ros [20], Ros [23], Langer-Singer [10] and many others(see [29], [31] and references there).

In this paper, we first prove the following theorem (c.f. Guo-Li-Wang [8], Pedit-Willmore [21]))

**Theorem 1.1** *Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional submanifold in an $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$. Then $M$ is a Willmore submanifold if and only if for $n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p$*

$$\begin{aligned} -\rho^{n-2}[SH^\alpha + \sum_{\beta,i,j} H^\beta h^\beta_{ij} h^\alpha_{ij} - \sum_{\beta,i,j,k} h^\alpha_{ij} h^\beta_{ik} h^\beta_{kj} - nH^2 H^\alpha] + (n-1)H^\alpha \Delta(\rho^{n-2}) \\ +2(n-1)\sum_i (\rho^{n-2})_i H^\alpha_{,i} + (n-1)\rho^{n-2}\Delta^\perp H^\alpha - \sum_{i,j} (\rho^{n-2})_{i,j}(nH^\alpha \delta_{ij} - h^\alpha_{ij}) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

*where $\Delta(\rho^{n-2}) = \sum_i (\rho^{n-2})_{i,i}$, $\Delta^\perp H^\alpha = \sum_i H^\alpha_{,ii}$, and $(\rho^{n-2})_{i,j}$ is the Hessian of $\rho^{n-2}$ with respect to the induced metric $dx \cdot dx$, $H^\alpha_{,i}$ and $H^\alpha_{,ij}$ are the components of the first and second covariant derivative of the mean curvature vector field $\mathbf{H}$ ( see (2.14)-(2.17) ).*

*Remark 1.1.* When $n = 2$ and $p = 1$, Theorem 1.1 was well-known (see Blaschke [1], Thomsen [26], Bryant [2] and chapter 7 of [31]). When $n = 2$ and $p \geq 1$ , Theorem 1.1 was proved by J. Weiner in [28], in this case (1.2) reduces to the following well-known equation of Willmore surfaces (see [28] or [14])

$$\Delta^\perp H^\alpha + \sum_{\beta,i,j} h^\alpha_{ij} h^\beta_{ij} H^\beta - 2H^2 H^\alpha = 0, \quad 3 \leq \alpha \leq 2+p. \tag{1.3}$$

When $n \geq 2$ and $p = 1$, Theorem 1.1 was proved by the author in [13].

*Remark 1.2.* We should note that for $n \geq 2$, C.P. Wang [27] got the Euler-Lagrange equation of Willmore functional for compact $n$-dimensional submanifolds without umbilical points in an $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$ in terms of Moebius geometry. We also note that a different version of Theorem 1.1 was announced in Pedit-Willmore [21]. From the expression of (1.2), in the cases $n = 3$ and $n = 5$ we need assume that $M$ has no umbilical points to guarantee $(\rho^{n-2})_{i,j}$ is continuous on $M$. We will make this assumption in this paper.

In order to state our main result, we first give the following two important examples

**Example 1** (see [13] or [8]). The tori

$$W_{m,n-m} = S^m\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-m}{n}}\right) \times S^{n-m}\left(\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}\right), \quad 1 \leq m \leq n-1 \tag{1.4}$$

are Willmore hypersurfaces in $S^{n+1}$. We call $W_{m,n-m}$, $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, *Willmore tori.* In fact, the principal curvatures $k_1, \cdots, k_n$ of $W_{m,n-m}$ are

$$k_1 = \cdots = k_m = \sqrt{\frac{m}{n-m}}, \quad k_{m+1} = \cdots = k_n = -\sqrt{\frac{n-m}{m}}. \tag{1.5}$$

We have from (1.5)

$$H = \frac{1}{n}(m\sqrt{\frac{m}{n-m}} - (n-m)\sqrt{\frac{n-m}{m}}), \quad S = \frac{m^2}{n-m} + \frac{(n-m)^2}{m},$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k} h_{ij}h_{jk}h_{ki} = \sum_i k_i^3 = m(\frac{m}{n-m})^{\frac{3}{2}} - (n-m)(\frac{n-m}{m})^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

where $h_{ij} = h_{ij}^{n+1}$. Thus we easily check that (1.2) holds, i.e., $W_{m,n-m}$ are Willmore hypersurfaces. In particular, we note that $\rho^2$ of $W_{m,n-m}$ for all $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ satisfy

$$\rho^2 = n. \tag{1.6}$$

We recall that well-known Clifford minimal tori are

$$C_{m,n-m} = S^m\left(\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}\right) \times S^{n-m}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-m}{n}}\right), \qquad 1 \leq m \leq n-1, \tag{1.7}$$

It is remarkable that a Willmore torus coincides with a Clifford minimal torus if and only if $n = 2m$ for some $m$.

*Remark 1.3.* When $n = 2$, we can see from (1.3) that all minimal surfaces are Willmore surfaces. In [22], Pinkall constructed many compact non-minimal flat Willmore surfaces in $S^3$. In [3], Castro-Urbano constructed many compact non-minimal Willmore surfaces in $R^4$. Ejiri [7] and Li-Vrancken [17] constructed many non-minimal flat tori in $S^5$ and $S^7$. Bryant [2] classified the Willmore spheres in $S^3$ and Montiel [19] classified the Willmore spheres in $S^4$. When $n \geq 3$, minimal submanifolds are not Willmore submanifolds in general, for example, Clifford minimal tori $C_{m,n-m} = S^m\left(\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}\right) \times S^{n-m}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-m}{n}}\right)$ are not Willmore submanifolds when $n \neq 2m$. In [8], the authors proved that all $n$-dimensional minimal Einstein submanifolds in a sphere are Willmore submanifolds (we note that this result was stated in [21] by Pedit and Willmore).

**Example 2** (see [6] or [11]). *Veronese surface.* Let $(x, y, z)$ be the natural coordinate system in $R^3$ and $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5)$ the natural coordinate system in $R^5$. We consider the mapping defined by

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}yz, \quad u_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}xz, \quad u_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}xy,$$

$$u_4 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(x^2 - y^2), \quad u_5 = \frac{1}{6}(x^2 + y^2 - 2z^2),$$

where $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 3$. This defines an isometric immersion of $S^2(\sqrt{3})$ into $S^4(1)$. Two points $(x, y, z)$ and $(-x, -y, -z)$ of $S^2(\sqrt{3})$ are mapped into the same point of $S^4$.

This real projective plane imbedded in $S^4$ is called the *Veronese surface*. We know that Veronese surface is a minimal surface in $S^4$ (see [6] or [11]), thus it is a Willmore surface. We also note that $\rho^2$ of the Veronese surface satisfies

$$\rho^2 = \frac{4}{3}. \tag{1.8}$$

In the theory of minimal submanifolds in $S^{n+p}$, the following J. Simons' integral inequality is well-known

**Theorem 1.2** (Simons [25], Lawson [11], Chern-Do Carmo-Kobayashi [6]) *Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional ($n \geq 2$) compact minimal submanifold in $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$. Then we have*

$$\int_M S\left(\frac{n}{2-1/p} - S\right) dv \leq 0. \tag{1.9}$$

*In particular, if*

$$0 \leq S \leq \frac{n}{2-1/p}, \tag{1.10}$$

*then either $S \equiv 0$ and $M$ is totally geodesic, or $S \equiv \frac{n}{2-1/p}$. In the latter case, either $p = 1$ and $M$ is a Clifford torus $C_{m,n-m}$, or $n = 2$, $p = 2$ and $M$ is the Veronese surface.*

In this paper we prove the following integral inequality of Simons' type for compact Willmore submanifolds in $S^{n+p}$.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional ($n \geq 2$) compact Willmore submanifold in $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$. Then we have*

$$\int_M \rho^n \left(\frac{n}{2-1/p} - \rho^2\right) dv \leq 0. \tag{1.11}$$

*In particular, if*

$$0 \leq \rho^2 \leq \frac{n}{2-1/p}, \tag{1.12}$$

*then either $\rho^2 \equiv 0$ and $M$ is totally umbilic, or $\rho^2 \equiv \frac{n}{2-1/p}$. In the latter case, either $p = 1$ and $M$ is a Willmore torus $W_{m,n-m}$ defined by* (1.4)*; or $n = 2$, $p = 2$ and $M$ is the Veronese surface.*

*Remark 1.4.* In case $p = 1$, Theorem 1.3 was proved by the author in [13]. We also classified all isoparametric Willmore hypersurfaces in $S^{n+1}$ in [13].

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $x : M \to S^{n+p}$ be an $n$-dimensional submanifold in an $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$. Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ be a local orthonormal basis of $M$ with respect to the induced metric, $\{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n\}$ are their dual form. Let $e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{n+p}$ be the local unit orthonormal normal vector field. In this paper we make the following convention on the range of indices:

$$1 \leq i,j,k \leq n; \qquad n+1 \leq \alpha,\beta,\gamma \leq n+p.$$

Then we have the structure equations

$$dx = \sum_i \theta_i e_i, \tag{2.1}$$

$$de_i = \sum_j \theta_{ij} e_j + \sum_{\alpha,j} h^\alpha_{ij} \theta_j e_\alpha - \theta_i x, \tag{2.2}$$

$$de_\alpha = -\sum_{i,j} h^\alpha_{ij} \theta_j e_i + \sum_\beta \theta_{\alpha\beta} e_\beta. \tag{2.3}$$

The Gauss equations are

$$R_{ijkl} = (\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) + \sum_\alpha (h^\alpha_{ik} h^\alpha_{jl} - h^\alpha_{il} h^\alpha_{jk}), \tag{2.4}$$

$$R_{ik} = (n-1)\delta_{ik} + n\sum_\alpha H^\alpha h^\alpha_{ik} - \sum_{\alpha,j} h^\alpha_{ij} h^\alpha_{jk}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$n(n-1)R = n(n-1) + n^2H^2 - S, \tag{2.6}$$

where $R$ is the normalized scalar curvature of $M$ and $S = \sum_{\alpha,i,j}(h^\alpha_{ij})^2$ is the norm square of the second fundamental form, $\mathbf{H} = \sum_\alpha H^\alpha e_\alpha = \frac{1}{n}\sum_\alpha(\sum_k h^\alpha_{kk})e_\alpha$ is the mean curvarture vector and $H = |\mathbf{H}|$ is the mean curvature of $M$.

The Codazzi equations are

$$h^\alpha_{ijk} = h^\alpha_{ikj}, \tag{2.7}$$

where the covariant derivative of $h^\alpha_{ij}$ is defined by

$$\sum_k h^\alpha_{ijk}\theta_k = dh^\alpha_{ij} + \sum_k h^\alpha_{kj}\theta_{ki} + \sum_k h^\alpha_{ik}\theta_{kj} + \sum_\beta h^\beta_{ij}\theta_{\beta\alpha}. \tag{2.8}$$

The second covariant derivative of $h^\alpha_{ij}$ is defined by

$$\sum_l h^\alpha_{ijkl}\theta_l = dh^\alpha_{ijk} + \sum_l h^\alpha_{ljk}\theta_{li} + \sum_l h^\alpha_{ilk}\theta_{lj} + \sum_l h^\alpha_{ijl}\theta_{lk} + \sum_\beta h^\beta_{ijk}\theta_{\beta\alpha}. \tag{2.9}$$

By exterior differentiation of (2.8), we have the following Ricci identities

$$h^\alpha_{ijkl} - h^\alpha_{ijlk} = \sum_m h^\alpha_{mj}R_{mikl} + \sum_m h^\alpha_{im}R_{mjkl} + \sum_\beta h^\beta_{ij}R_{\beta\alpha kl}. \tag{2.10}$$

The Ricci equations are

$$R_{\alpha\beta ij} = \sum_k (h^\alpha_{ik}h^\beta_{kj} - h^\beta_{ik}h^\alpha_{kj}). \tag{2.11}$$

We define the following non-negative function on $M$

$$\rho^2 = S - nH^2, \tag{2.12}$$

which vanishes exactly at the umbilical points of $M$.

Willmore functional is the following functional (see [4], [21] and [27])

$$W(x) := \int_M \rho^n dv = \int_M (S - nH^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} dv. \tag{2.13}$$

By Gauss equation (2.6), (2.13) is equivalent to

$$W(x) = [n(n-1)]^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_M (H^2 - R + 1)^{\frac{n}{2}} dv. \tag{2.13$'$}$$

It was shown in [4], [21] and [27] that this functional is an invariant under Moebius (or conformal) transformations of $S^{n+p}$. We use the term *Willmore submanifolds* to call its critical points. When $n = 2$, the functional essentially coincides with the well-known Willmore functional and its critical points are *Willmore surfaces*.

We define the first, second covariant derivatives and Laplacian of the mean curvature vector field $\mathbf{H} = \sum\limits_\alpha H^\alpha e_\alpha$ in the normal bundle $N(M)$ as follows

$$\sum_i H^\alpha_{,i}\theta_i = dH^\alpha + \sum_\beta H^\beta \theta_{\beta\alpha}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\sum_j H^\alpha_{,ij}\theta_j = dH^\alpha_{,i} + \sum_j H^\alpha_{,j}\theta_{ji} + \sum_\beta H^\beta_{,i}\theta_{\beta\alpha}, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\Delta^\perp H^\alpha = \sum_i H^\alpha_{,ii}, \qquad H^\alpha = \frac{1}{n}\sum_k h^\alpha_{kk}. \tag{2.16}$$

Let $f$ be a smooth function on $M$, we define the first, second covariant derivatives $f_i$, $f_{i,j}$ and Laplacian of $f$ as follows

$$df = \sum_i f_i\theta_i, \qquad \sum_j f_{i,j}\theta_j = df_i + \sum_j f_j\theta_{ji}, \qquad \Delta f = \sum_i f_{i,i}. \tag{2.17}$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $x : M \to S^{n+p}$ be a compact submanifold in a unit sphere $S^{n+p}$. From Wang [27], we have the following relations of the connections of the Mobeius metric $\tilde{\rho}^2 dx \cdot dx$ and induced metric $dx \cdot dx$

$$\omega_{ij} = \theta_{ij} + (\ln\tilde{\rho})_i\theta_j - (\ln\tilde{\rho})_j\theta_i, \quad \omega_{\alpha\beta} = \theta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \tilde{\rho} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}}\rho, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\rho$ is defined by (1.1).

We get by use of (3.15) of [27] and (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_j \tilde{\rho} C^\alpha_{i,j}\theta_j &= \sum_j C^\alpha_{i,j}\omega_j \\
&= dC^\alpha_i + \sum_j C^\alpha_j \omega_{ji} + \sum_\beta C^\beta_i \omega_{\beta\alpha} \\
&= dC^\alpha_i + \sum_j C^\alpha_j \theta_{ji} + \sum_\beta C^\beta_i \theta_{\beta\alpha} + \sum_k [(\ln\tilde{\rho})_k\theta_i - (\ln\tilde{\rho})_i\theta_k] \cdot C^\alpha_k,
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}C^{\alpha}_{i,j} &= 2\tilde{\rho}^{-3}\tilde{\rho}_j[H^{\alpha}_{,i}+\sum_k(h^{\alpha}_{ik}-H^{\alpha}\delta_{ik})(\ln\tilde{\rho})_k]-\tilde{\rho}^{-2}[H^{\alpha}_{,ij}+\sum_k(h^{\alpha}_{ikj}-H^{\alpha}_{,j}\delta_{ik})(\ln\tilde{\rho})_k]\\ &\quad -\tilde{\rho}^{-2}\sum_k(h^{\alpha}_{ik}-H^{\alpha}\delta_{ik})(\ln\tilde{\rho})_{k,j}+\sum_k C^{\alpha}_k(\ln\tilde{\rho})_k\delta_{ij}-(\ln\tilde{\rho})_iC^{\alpha}_j,\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

where $\{(\ln\tilde{\rho})_{k,j}\}$ is the Hessian-Matrix of $\ln\tilde{\rho}$ with respect to the induced metric $dx\cdot dx$ and $\{H^{\alpha}_{,i}\}$ is defined by (2.14).

Letting $i=j$, making summation over $i$ in (3.2) and using (3.15) of [27], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i C^{\alpha}_{i,i} &= -\tilde{\rho}^{-3}\Delta^{\perp}H^{\alpha}-\tilde{\rho}^{-3}\sum_{i,k}(h^{\alpha}_{ik}-H^{\alpha}\delta_{ik})(\ln\tilde{\rho})_{k,i}\\ &\quad -2(n-2)\tilde{\rho}^{-3}\sum_i H^{\alpha}_{,i}(\ln\tilde{\rho})_i-(n-3)\tilde{\rho}^{-3}\sum_{i,j}(\ln\tilde{\rho})_i(\ln\tilde{\rho})_j(h^{\alpha}_{ij}-H^{\alpha}\delta_{ij}),\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

where $\Delta^{\perp}H^{\alpha}$ is defined by (2.16).

On the other hand, we have from (3.10) and (3.14) of [27]

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i,j}A_{ij}B^{\alpha}_{ij}+\sum_{\beta,i,j,k}B^{\beta}_{ik}B^{\beta}_{kj}B^{\alpha}_{ij}\\ =\ &-\tilde{\rho}^{-3}\sum_{i,j}(\ln\tilde{\rho})_{i,j}(h^{\alpha}_{ij}-H^{\alpha}\delta_{ij})+\tilde{\rho}^{-3}\sum_{i,j}(\ln\tilde{\rho})_i(\ln\tilde{\rho})_j(h^{\alpha}_{ij}-H^{\alpha}\delta_{ij})\\ &+\tilde{\rho}^{-3}[\sum_{\beta,i,j,k}h^{\beta}_{ik}h^{\beta}_{kj}h^{\alpha}_{ij}-\sum_{\beta,i,j}H^{\beta}h^{\beta}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{ij}-SH^{\alpha}+nH^2H^{\alpha}].\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

Putting (3.3) and (3.4) into the following Willmore condition (see (2.34) and (4.27) of [27])

$$-(n-1)\sum_i C^{\alpha}_{i,i}+\sum_{i,j}A_{ij}B^{\alpha}_{ij}+\sum_{\beta,i,j,k}B^{\alpha}_{ij}B^{\beta}_{ik}B^{\beta}_{kj}=0,\tag{3.5}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\tfrac{n-1}{\rho^{n+1}}\{-\tfrac{\rho^{n-2}}{n-1}[SH^{\alpha}+\sum_{\beta,i,j}H^{\beta}h^{\beta}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{ij}-\sum_{\beta,i,j,k}h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\beta}_{ik}h^{\beta}_{kj}-nH^2H^{\alpha}]\\ &\quad+\rho^{n-2}\Delta^{\perp}H^{\alpha}+\tfrac{n-2}{n-1}\rho^{n-2}\sum_{i,j}(\ln\rho)_{i,j}(h^{\alpha}_{ij}-H^{\alpha}\delta_{ij})+2(n-2)\rho^{n-2}\sum_i(\ln\rho)_iH^{\alpha}_{,i}\\ &\quad+\tfrac{(n-2)^2}{n-1}\rho^{n-2}\sum_{i,j}(\ln\rho)_i(\ln\rho)_j(h^{\alpha}_{ij}-H^{\alpha}\delta_{ij})\}=0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.5$'$}$$

We can check the following identity by a direct computation

$$\begin{aligned}&-\tfrac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i,j}(\rho^{n-2})_{i,j}(nH^{\alpha}\delta_{ij}-h^{\alpha}_{ij})+\rho^{n-2}\Delta^{\perp}H^{\alpha}+2\sum_i(\rho^{n-2})_iH^{\alpha}_{,i}+H^{\alpha}\Delta(\rho^{n-2})\\ =\ &\tfrac{(n-2)^2}{n-1}\rho^{n-2}\sum_{i,j}(\ln\rho)_i(\ln\rho)_j(h^{\alpha}_{ij}-H^{\alpha}\delta_{ij})+\tfrac{n-2}{n-1}\sum_{i,j}\rho^{n-2}(\ln\rho)_{i,j}(h^{\alpha}_{ij}-H^{\alpha}\delta_{ij})\\ &+2(n-2)\rho^{n-2}\sum_i(\ln\rho)_iH^{\alpha}_{,i}+\rho^{n-2}\Delta^{\perp}H^{\alpha}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

Thus $(3.5)'$ is equivalent to (1.2) by use of (3.6). We complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Remark 3.1* Fix index $\alpha$ with $n+1\le\alpha\le n+p$, define $\Box^{\alpha}:M\to R$ by

$$\Box^{\alpha}f=(nH^{\alpha}\delta_{ij}-h^{\alpha}_{ij})f_{i,j},$$

where $f$ is any smooth function on $M$ and $f_{i,j}$ is defined by (2.17). We know that $\Box^\alpha$ is a self-adjoint operator (cf. Cheng-Yau [5], Li [15,16]). It is remarkable that this operator naturally appears in Willmore equation (1.2). In fact, by use of this self-adjoint operator, Willmore equation (1.2) can be written as the following equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned}&-\rho^{n-2}[SH^\alpha+\sum_{\beta,i,j}H^\beta h^\beta_{ij}h^\alpha_{ij}-\sum_{\beta,i,j,k}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\beta_{ik}h^\beta_{kj}-nH^2H^\alpha]+(n-1)\rho^{n-2}\Delta^\perp H^\alpha\\&\quad+2(n-1)\sum_i(\rho^{n-2})_iH^\alpha_{,i}+(n-1)H^\alpha\Delta(\rho^{n-2})-\Box^\alpha(\rho^{n-2})=0,\quad n+1\le\alpha\le n+p.\end{aligned}\tag{1.2$'$}$$

## 4. The Lemmas

We first prove the following Lemma (c.f. Simons [25], Chern-Do Carmo-Kobayashi [6] or Schoen-Simon-Yau [24])

**Lemma 4.1** *Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional ($n\ge 2$) submanifold in $S^{n+p}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac12\Delta\rho^2 &= |\nabla h|^2-n^2|\nabla^\perp\mathbf{H}|^2+\sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{kki})_j+n\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m}H^\beta h^\beta_{mj}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{im}\\&\quad+n\rho^2-\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k,m}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\beta_{ij}h^\alpha_{mk}h^\beta_{mk}-\sum_{\alpha,\beta,j,k}(R_{\beta\alpha jk})^2-\tfrac12\Delta(nH^2),\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

*where* $|\nabla h|^2=\sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h^\alpha_{ijk})^2$ *and* $|\nabla^\perp\mathbf{H}|^2=\sum_{\alpha,i}(H^\alpha_{,i})^2$, $H^\alpha_{,i}$ *is defined by* (2.14).

*Proof.* By the definition of $\Delta$ and $\rho^2$, we have by use of (2.7) and (2.10)

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac12\Delta\rho^2 &= \tfrac12\Delta(\sum_{\alpha,i,j}(h^\alpha_{ij})^2)-\tfrac12\Delta(nH^2)\\&=\sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h^\alpha_{ijk})^2+\sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{kijk}-\tfrac12\Delta(nH^2)\\&=|\nabla h|^2-n^2|\nabla^\perp\mathbf{H}|^2+\sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{kki})_j+\sum_{\alpha,i,j,k,m}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{mk}R_{mijk}\\&\quad+\sum_{\alpha,i,j,m}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{im}R_{mj}+\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\beta_{ik}R_{\beta\alpha jk}-\tfrac12\Delta(nH^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

By use of (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\alpha,i,j,k,m}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{mk}R_{mijk}+\sum_{\alpha,i,j,m}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{im}R_{mj}+\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k}h^\alpha_{ji}h^\beta_{ik}R_{\beta\alpha jk}\\&=nS-n^2H^2-\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k,m}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\beta_{ij}h^\alpha_{mk}h^\beta_{mk}+n\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m}H^\beta h^\beta_{mj}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{im}\\&\quad-[\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m,l}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{im}h^\beta_{ml}h^\beta_{lj}-\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k,m}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{km}h^\beta_{jm}h^\beta_{ik}-\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k}h^\alpha_{ji}h^\beta_{ik}R_{\beta\alpha jk}].\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand, we have by (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,j,k}(R_{\beta\alpha jk})^2 &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k}(h^\beta_{ji}h^\alpha_{ik}-h^\alpha_{ji}h^\beta_{ik})R_{\beta\alpha jk}\\&=\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k,l}h^\beta_{ji}h^\alpha_{ik}(h^\beta_{jl}h^\alpha_{lk}-h^\beta_{kl}h^\alpha_{lj})-\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k}h^\alpha_{ji}h^\beta_{ik}R_{\beta\alpha jk}\\&=\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m,l}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{im}h^\beta_{ml}h^\beta_{lj}-\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k,m}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{km}h^\beta_{jm}h^\beta_{ik}-\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k}h^\alpha_{ji}h^\beta_{ik}R_{\beta\alpha jk}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

Putting (4.3) into (4.2), we obtain (4.1) by use of (4.4).

**Lemma 4.2** *Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional ($n \geq 2$) submanifold in $S^{n+p}$, then we have*

$$|\nabla h|^2 \geq \frac{3n^2}{n+2}|\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{H}|^2, \tag{4.5}$$

*where* $|\nabla h|^2 = \sum\limits_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h^{\alpha}_{ijk})^2$, $|\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{H}|^2 = \sum\limits_{\alpha,i}(H^{\alpha}_{,i})^2$, $H^{\alpha}_{,i}$ *is defined by* (2.14).

*Proof.* We construct the following symmetric trace-free tensor (c.f. [9] or [13])

$$F^{\alpha}_{ijk} = h^{\alpha}_{ijk} - \frac{n}{n+2}(H^{\alpha}_i\delta_{jk} + H^{\alpha}_j\delta_{ik} + H^{\alpha}_k\delta_{ij}). \tag{4.6}$$

Then we can easily compute that

$$|F|^2 = \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}(F^{\alpha}_{ijk})^2 = |\nabla h|^2 - \frac{3n^2}{n+2}|\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{H}|^2.$$

Then we have

$$|\nabla h|^2 \geq \frac{3n^2}{n+2}|\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{H}|^2,$$

which proves the Lemma 4.2.

Define tensors

$$\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij} = h^{\alpha}_{ij} - H^{\alpha}\delta_{ij}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{ij}, \quad \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j}h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\beta}_{ij}. \tag{4.8}$$

Then the $(p \times p)$-matrix $(\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta})$ is symmetric and can be assumed to be diagonized for a suitable choice of $e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{n+p}$. We set

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha}\delta_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{4.9}$$

We have by a direct calculation

$$\sum_k \tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{kk} = 0, \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = \sigma_{\alpha\beta} - nH^{\alpha}H^{\beta}, \quad \rho^2 = \sum_{\alpha}\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha} = S - nH^2, \tag{4.10}$$

$$\sum_{\beta,i,j,k} h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\beta}_{ik}h^{\beta}_{kj} = \sum_{\beta,i,j,k}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{ik}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{kj} + 2\sum_{\beta,i,j}H^{\beta}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{ij}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij} + H^{\alpha}\rho^2 + nH^{\alpha}H^2, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\sum_{\alpha,i,j,m} h^{\beta}_{mj}h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{im} = \sum_{\alpha,i,j,m}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{mj}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{im} + 2\sum_{\alpha,i,j}H^{\alpha}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{ij} + H^{\beta}\rho^2 + nH^2H^{\beta}. \tag{4.12}$$

From (4.7), (4.10), (4.11) and Theorem 1.1, we have

**Lemma 4.3**. *Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional submanifold in an $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$. Then $M$ is a Willmore submanifold if and only if for $n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p$*

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-1)\rho^{n-2}\Delta^{\perp}H^{\alpha} \\ = \; & -2(n-1)\sum_i(\rho^{n-2})_i H^{\alpha}_{,i} - (n-1)H^{\alpha}\Delta(\rho^{n-2}) \\ & -\rho^{n-2}\Big(\sum_{\beta} H^{\beta}\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\beta,i,j,k}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{ik}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{kj}\Big) + \sum_{i,j}(\rho^{n-2})_{i,j}(nH^{\alpha}\delta_{ij} - h^{\alpha}_{ij}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

*where* $(\rho^{n-2})_{i,j}$, $\Delta(\rho^{n-2})$ *and* $\Delta^{\perp}H^{\alpha}$ *are defined by* (2.17) *and* (2.16).

In general, for a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ we denote by $N(A)$ the square of the norm of $A$, i.e.,

$$N(A) = \mathrm{trace}(A\cdot A^t) = \sum_{i,j}(a_{ij})^2.$$

Clearly, $N(A) = N(T^tAT)$ for any orthogonal matrix $T$.

We need the following lemma (see Chern-Do Carmo-Kobayashi [6])

**Lemma 4.4**. *Let $A$ and $B$ be symmetric $(n\times n)$-matrices. Then*

$$N(AB-BA) \le 2N(A)\cdot N(B),$$

*and the equality holds for nonzero matrices $A$ and $B$ if and only if $A$ and $B$ can be transformed simultaneously by an orthogonal matrix into multiples of $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ respectively, where*

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Moreover, if $A_1, A_2$ and $A_3$ are $(n\times n)$-symmetric matrices and if*

$$N(A_{\alpha}A_{\beta} - A_{\beta}A_{\alpha}) = 2N(A_{\alpha})\cdot N(A_{\beta}), \quad 1\le \alpha,\beta \le 3,$$

*then at least one of the matrices $A_{\alpha}$ must be zero.*

**Lemma 4.5**. *Let $x : M \to S^{n+p}$ be an $n$-dimensional submanifold in $S^{n+p}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta\rho^2 \;\ge\; & |\nabla h|^2 - n^2|\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{H}|^2 + \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{kki})_j + n\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m}H^{\beta}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{mj}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{im} \\ & + n\rho^2 + nH^2\rho^2 - \Big(2-\frac{1}{p}\Big)\rho^4 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta(nH^2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

*Proof.* By use of (4.10) and (4.12), (4.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta\rho^2 \;=\; & |\nabla h|^2 - n^2|\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{H}|^2 + \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{kki})_j + n\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m}H^{\beta}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{mj}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{im} \\ & + n\rho^2 + nH^2\rho^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\tilde{\sigma}^2_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_{\alpha,\beta,j,k}(R_{\beta\alpha jk})^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta(nH^2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

By (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,j,k}(R_{\beta\alpha jk})^2 &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,j,k}(\sum_l h^\beta_{jl}h^\alpha_{lk}-\sum_l h^\alpha_{jl}h^\beta_{lk})^2\\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,j,k}(\sum_l \tilde{h}^\beta_{jl}\tilde{h}^\alpha_{lk}-\sum_l \tilde{h}^\alpha_{jl}\tilde{h}^\beta_{lk})^2\\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta}N(A_\alpha A_\beta-A_\beta A_\alpha),\end{aligned}\tag{4.16}$$

where

$$A_\alpha := (\tilde{h}^\alpha_{ij}) = (h^\alpha_{ij}-H^\alpha\delta_{ij}).\tag{4.17}$$

By use of Lemma 4.4, (4.9), (4.10) and (4.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\tilde{\sigma}^2_{\alpha\beta}-\sum_{\alpha,\beta,j,k}(R_{\beta\alpha jk})^2 &= -\sum_\alpha\tilde{\sigma}^2_\alpha-\sum_{\alpha,\beta}N(A_\alpha A_\beta-A_\beta A_\alpha)\\ &\geq -\sum_\alpha\tilde{\sigma}^2_\alpha-2\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}\tilde{\sigma}_\alpha\tilde{\sigma}_\beta\\ &= -2(\sum_\alpha\tilde{\sigma}_\alpha)^2+\sum_\alpha\tilde{\sigma}^2_\alpha\\ &\geq -2\rho^4+\frac{1}{p}(\sum_\alpha\tilde{\sigma}_\alpha)^2\\ &= -(2-\frac{1}{p})\rho^4.\end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

Putting (4.18) into (4.15), we get (4.14).

**Lemma 4.6**. *Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional submanifold in an $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta(\rho^n) \geq\ & \tfrac{1}{2}n\{-n(n-1)\rho^{n-2}|\nabla^\perp\mathbf{H}|^2+\rho^{n-2}\sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{kki})_j+\rho^n[n+nH^2\\ &-(2-\tfrac{1}{p})\rho^2]+n\rho^{n-2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m}H^\beta\tilde{h}^\beta_{mj}\tilde{h}^\alpha_{ji}\tilde{h}^\alpha_{im}-\tfrac{1}{2}\rho^{n-2}\Delta(nH^2)\}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.19}$$

*Proof.* First it is easy to check the following calculation

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta(\rho^n) &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta[(\rho^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}]=\tfrac{1}{2}n(n-2)\rho^{n-2}\sum_i\rho^2_i+\tfrac{n}{4}\rho^{n-2}\Delta(\rho^2)\\ &\geq \tfrac{n}{4}\rho^{n-2}\Delta(\rho^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

Noting

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla h|^2-n^2|\nabla^\perp\mathbf{H}|^2 &= (|\nabla h|^2-\tfrac{3n^2}{n+2}|\nabla^\perp\mathbf{H}|^2)+(\tfrac{3n^2}{n+2}-n)|\nabla^\perp\mathbf{H}|^2-n(n-1)|\nabla^\perp\mathbf{H}|^2\\ &\geq (|\nabla h|^2-\tfrac{3n^2}{n+2}|\nabla^\perp\mathbf{H}|^2)-n(n-1)|\nabla^\perp\mathbf{H}|^2,\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

we get from (4.5), (4.14) and (4.21)

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta\rho^2 \geq\ & -n(n-1)|\nabla^\perp\mathbf{H}|^2+\sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{kki})_j+n\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m}H^\beta\tilde{h}^\beta_{mj}\tilde{h}^\alpha_{ij}\tilde{h}^\alpha_{im}\\ &+n\rho^2+nH^2\rho^2-(2-\frac{1}{p})\rho^4-\frac{1}{2}\Delta(nH^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.22}$$

We obtain (4.19) by putting (4.22) into (4.20).

**Lemma 4.7**. *Let $x : M \to S^{n+p}$ be an $n$-dimensional compact submanifold in $S^{n+p}$. Let $f$ and $g$ be two any smooth functions on $M$.* Then we have

$$\int_M g\Delta f dv = -\int_M (\sum_i f_i g_i)dv = \int_M f\Delta g dv. \tag{4.23}$$

*Proof.* Integrating the following identities over $M$

$$g\Delta f = g\sum_i f_{i,i} = \sum_i (f_i g)_i - \sum_i f_i g_i,$$

$$f\Delta g = f\sum_i g_{i,i} = \sum_i (g_i f)_i - \sum_i f_i g_i,$$

we get (4.23).

**Lemma 4.8**. *Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional compact Willmore submanifold in an $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & -n(n-1)\textstyle\int_M \rho^{n-2}|\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{H}|^2 dv + n\int_M \rho^{n-2}(\sum\limits_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m} H^{\beta}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{mj}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ji}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{im})dv \\ = \; & -\tfrac{1}{2}n(n+1)\textstyle\int_M \sum\limits_i (\rho^{n-2})_i (H^2)_i dv - n\int_M \rho^{n-2}\sum\limits_{\alpha,\beta} H^{\alpha}H^{\beta}\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}dv \\ & -n\textstyle\int_M (\sum\limits_{\alpha,i,j} H^{\alpha}h^{\alpha}_{ij}(\rho^{n-2})_{i,j})dv. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

*Proof.* We have the following calculation by use of Stokes formula and Lemma 4.7

$$\begin{aligned} & -n(n-1)\textstyle\int_M \rho^{n-2}|\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{H}|^2 dv + n\int_M \rho^{n-2}(\sum\limits_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m} H^{\beta}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{mj}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ji}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{im})dv \\ = \; & \tfrac{1}{2}n(n-1)\textstyle\int_M (\sum\limits_i (\rho^{n-2})_i (H^2)_i)dv + n(n-1)\int_M \rho^{n-2}\sum\limits_{\alpha} H^{\alpha}\Delta^{\perp}H^{\alpha}dv \\ & +n\textstyle\int_M \rho^{n-2}(\sum\limits_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m} H^{\beta}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{mj}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ji}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{im})dv. \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Putting (4.13) into (4.25), using Stokes formula and Lemma 4.7, we get (4.24).

**Lemma 4.9**. *Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional compact submanifold in an $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$, then we have*

$$\int_M \rho^{n-2}\sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{kki})_j dv = n\int_M (\sum_{\alpha,i,j} H^{\alpha}h^{\alpha}_{ij}(\rho^{n-2})_{i,j})dv + \frac{n^2}{2}\int_M \sum_i (\rho^{n-2})_i (H^2)_i dv. \tag{4.26}$$

*Proof.* We have the following calculation by use of Stokes formula and Lemma 4.7

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\int_M \rho^{n-2}\sum\limits_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{kki})_j dv &= -\textstyle\int_M \sum\limits_{\alpha,i,j,k}(\rho^{n-2})_j h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{kki}dv \\ &= -\textstyle\int_M \sum\limits_{\alpha,i,j,k}((\rho^{n-2})_j h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{kk})_i dv + \int_M \sum\limits_{\alpha,i,j,k}(\rho^{n-2})_{j,i}h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{kk}dv \\ &\quad +n^2\textstyle\int_M \sum\limits_{\alpha,j} H^{\alpha}(\rho^{n-2})_j H^{\alpha}_{,j}dv \\ &= n\textstyle\int_M \sum\limits_{\alpha,i,j} H^{\alpha}h^{\alpha}_{ij}(\rho^{n-2})_{i,j}dv + \tfrac{1}{2}n^2\int_M \sum\limits_i (\rho^{n-2})_i (H^2)_i dv. \end{aligned}$$

By use of Stokes formula and Lemma 4.7, we also have

**Lemma 4.10**. *Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional compact submanifold in an $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$, then we have*

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_M \rho^{n-2}\Delta(nH^2)dv = \frac{n}{2}\int_M \sum_i(\rho^{n-2})_i(H^2)_i dv. \tag{4.27}$$

## 5. The Proof of Theorem 1.3

Now we begin to prove the Theorem 1.3. Integrating (4.19) over $M$ and using Stokes formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ \geq \ & \tfrac{n}{2}\{-n(n-1)\textstyle\int_M \rho^{n-2}|\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{H}|^2 dv + n\int_M \rho^{n-2}\sum\limits_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m} H^{\beta}\tilde{h}^{\beta}_{mj}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ji}\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{im}dv \\ & +\textstyle\int_M \rho^{n-2}\sum\limits_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{kki})_j dv - \tfrac{1}{2}\int_M \rho^{n-2}\Delta(nH^2)dv \\ & +\textstyle\int_M \rho^n(n+nH^2-(2-\frac{1}{p})\rho^2)dv\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Putting (4.24), (4.26) and (4.27) into (5.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ & \geq \ \frac{n}{2}\{-n\int_M \rho^{n-2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta}H^{\alpha}H^{\beta}\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}dv + \int_M \rho^n(n+nH^2-(2-\frac{1}{p})\rho^2)dv\} \\ & = \ \frac{n}{2}\{n\int_M \rho^{n-2}(H^2\rho^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta}H^{\alpha}H^{\beta}\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta})dv + \int_M \rho^n(n-(2-\frac{1}{p})\rho^2)dv\} \\ & \geq \ \frac{n}{2}\int_M \rho^n(n-(2-\frac{1}{p})\rho^2)dv, \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where we used

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta}H^{\alpha}H^{\beta}\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\alpha}(H^{\alpha})^2\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha} \leq \sum_{\alpha}(H^{\alpha})^2\cdot\sum_{\beta}\tilde{\sigma}_{\beta} = H^2\rho^2. \tag{5.3}$$

Thus we reach the following integral inequality of Simons' type

$$\int_M \rho^n(n-(2-\frac{1}{p})\rho^2)dv \leq 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Therefore we have proved the integral inequality (1.11) in Theorem 1.3.

If (1.12) holds, then we conclude from (5.4) that either $\rho^2 \equiv 0$, or $\rho^2 \equiv n/(2-\frac{1}{p})$. In the first case, we know that $S \equiv nH^2$, i.e. $M$ is totally umbilic; in the latter case, i.e.,

$$\rho^2 = \sum_{\alpha,i,j}(\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij})^2 \equiv n/(2-\frac{1}{p}), \tag{5.5}$$

we have from (4.21) (in this case, (4.21) becomes an equality)

$$(\frac{3n^2}{n+2}-n)|\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{H}|^2 = 0,$$

we have $\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{H} = 0$, thus we have from (4.5) (in this case (4.5) becomes an equality)

$$\nabla h = 0, \quad i.e., \quad h^{\alpha}_{ijk} = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

It follows that all inequalities in (4.18), (4.19), (4.21), (4.22), (5.1) and (5.2) are actually equalities. In deriving (4.19) from (4.18), we made use of inequalities $N(A_\alpha A_\beta - A_\beta A_\alpha) \leq 2N(A_\alpha) \cdot N(A_\beta)$. Hence

$$N(A_\alpha A_\beta - A_\beta A_\alpha) = 2N(A_\alpha) \cdot N(A_\beta), \quad \alpha \neq \beta. \tag{5.7}$$

From (4.18) we obtain

$$\tilde{\sigma}_1 = \tilde{\sigma}_2 = \cdots = \tilde{\sigma}_p, \tag{5.8}$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_\alpha$ is defined by (4.9).

From (5.7) and Lemma 4.4, we conclude that at most two of the matrices $A_\alpha = (\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij}) = (h^{\alpha}_{ij} - H^{\alpha}\delta_{ij})$ are nonzero, in which case they can be assumed to be scalar multiples of $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ in Lemma 4.4. We now consider the case $p = 1$ and $p \geq 2$ separately.

**Case** $p = 1$. From (5.6), we know that $M$ is an isoparametric hypersurface. In this case Theorem 1.3 already has been proved by the author in [13] (see Theorem 3 of [13]). We conclude that $M$ is one of Willmore tori, that is, $M = W_{m,n-m}$ for some $m$ with $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$.

**Case** $p \geq 2$. In this case, we know that at most two of $A_\alpha = (\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij})$, $\alpha = n+1, \cdots, n+p$, are different from zero. If all of $A_\alpha = (\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{ij})$ are zero, which is contradiction with (5.5). Assume that only one of them, say $A_\alpha$, is different zero, which is contradiction with (5.8). Therefore we may assume that

$$A_{n+1} = \lambda\tilde{A}, \qquad A_{n+2} = \mu\tilde{B}, \quad \lambda, \mu \neq 0,$$
$$A_\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha \geq n + 3,$$

where $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ are defined in Lemma 4.4.

In this case, the inequality (5.3) is actually equality, that is,

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} H^{\alpha}H^{\beta}\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = H^2\rho^2. \tag{5.9}$$

In fact, (5.9) is

$$2\lambda^2(H^{n+1})^2 + 2\mu^2(H^{n+2})^2 = [(H^{n+1})^2 + (H^{n+2})^2 + \cdots + (H^{n+p})^2][2\lambda^2 + 2\mu^2].$$

In view of $\lambda, \mu \neq 0$, we follow

$$H^{\alpha} = 0, \quad n + 1 \leq \alpha \leq n + p,$$

that is, $\mathbf{H} = 0$, i.e., $M$ is a minimal submanfold in $S^{n+p}$, (5.5) becomes

$$S = \sum_{\alpha,i,j} (h^{\alpha}_{ij})^2 = \frac{n}{2 - 1/p}.$$

From Main Theorem of Chern-Do Carmo-Kobayashi [6], we conclude that $n = 2, p = 2$ and $M$ is a Veronese surface defined by example 2. We complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 6. Some Related Results

For $p \geq 2$, we can improve Theorem 1.3 as follows

**Theorem 6.1** *Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional ($n \geq 2$) compact Willmore submanifold in ($n+p$)-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$. Then we have*

$$\int_M \rho^n \left(\frac{2}{3}n - \rho^2\right) dv \leq 0. \tag{6.1}$$

*In particular, if*

$$0 \leq \rho^2 \leq \frac{2}{3}n, \tag{6.2}$$

*then either $\rho^2 \equiv 0$ and $M$ is totally umbilic, or $\rho^2 \equiv \frac{2}{3}n$. In the latter case, $n = 2$, $p = 2$ and $M$ is the Veronese surface.*

*Remark 6.1* When $n = 2$, Theorem 6.1 was proved by author in [14] (see Theorem 3 of [14]). When $p \geq 2$, the pinching constant $2n/3$ in Theorem 6.1 is better than the pinching constant $n/(2 - 1/p)$ in Theorem 1.3.

We need the following lemma to prove our Theorem 6.1

**Lemma 6.1** (see Theorem 1 of [12]). *Let $A_{n+1}, \cdots, A_{n+p}$ be symmetric $(n \times n)$-matrices, which are defined by (4.17). Set $\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = \operatorname{tr}(A_\alpha^t A_\beta)$, $\tilde{\sigma}_\alpha = \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} = N(A_\alpha) := \operatorname{tr}(A_\alpha^t A_\alpha)$, $\rho^2 = \sum\limits_\alpha \tilde{\sigma}_\alpha$ (see (4.8)-(4.10)), we have*

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} N(A_\alpha A_\beta - A_\beta A_\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}^2 \leq \frac{3}{2}\rho^4. \tag{6.3}$$

*Moreover, the equality holds if and only if at most two matrices $A_\alpha$ and $A_\beta$ are not zero and these two matrices can be transformed simultaneously by an orthogonal matrix into scalar multiples of $\tilde{A}$, $\tilde{B}$, respectively, where $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ are defined in Lemma 4.4.*

**Proof of Theorem 6.1** In the proof of Lemma 4.5, using Lemma 6.1 instead of Lemma 4.4, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta\rho^2 \quad \geq \quad & |\nabla h|^2 - n^2|\nabla^\perp \mathbf{H}|^2 + \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kki}^\alpha)_j + n\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m} H^\beta \tilde{h}_{mj}^\beta \tilde{h}_{ij}^\alpha \tilde{h}_{im}^\alpha \\ & + n\rho^2 + nH^2\rho^2 - \frac{3}{2}\rho^4 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta(nH^2). \end{aligned} \tag*{(6.4))}$$

Repeating process of the proof of Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta(\rho^n) \quad \geq \quad & \tfrac{1}{2}n\{-n(n-1)\rho^{n-2}|\nabla^\perp \mathbf{H}|^2 + \rho^{n-2}\sum_{\alpha,i,j,k}(h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kki}^\alpha)_j + \rho^n[n + nH^2 \\ & -\tfrac{3}{2}\rho^2] + n\rho^{n-2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,m} H^\beta \tilde{h}_{mj}^\beta \tilde{h}_{ji}^\alpha \tilde{h}_{im}^\alpha - \tfrac{1}{2}\rho^{n-2}\Delta(nH^2)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Integrating (6.5) over $M$, using Stokes formula, (4.24), (4.26) and (4.27) we can get (6.1) by the similar argument as the proof of Theorem 1.3.

If (6.2) holds, then we conclude from (6.1) that either $\rho^2 \equiv 0$ and $M$ is totally umbilic, or $\rho^2 \equiv \frac{2}{3}n$. In the latter case, i.e.,

$$\rho^2 \equiv \frac{2}{3}n, \tag{6.6}$$

using the similar argument as the proof of theorem 1.3, we can conclude that $\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{H} \equiv 0$, $h^{\alpha}_{ijk} \equiv 0$ and $\mathbf{H} \equiv 0$, i.e., $M$ is a minimal submanifold with (6.6). From Theorem 3 of [12], we know that $n = 2$, $p = 2$ and $M$ is the Veronese surface. We complete the proof of Theorem 6.1.

We now mention the following example

**Example 6.1** ([8]). $M_{m_1,\cdots,m_{p+1}} := S^{m_1}(a_1) \times \cdots \times S^{m_{p+1}}(a_{p+1})$ is an $n$-dimensional Willmore submanifold in $S^{n+p}$, where $n = m_1 + \cdots + m_{p+1}$ and $a_i$ are defined by

$$a_i = \sqrt{\frac{n - m_i}{np}}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, p+1.$$

From Proposition 4.1 of [8], we can check that the function $\rho^2$ of $M_{m_1,\cdots,m_{p+1}}$ satisfies $\rho^2 = np$ and $M_{m_1,\cdots,m_{p+1}}$ is a minimal submanifold if and only if

$$m_1 = m_2 = \cdots = m_{p+1} = \frac{n}{p+1}, \qquad a_i = \sqrt{\frac{1}{p+1}}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, p+1.$$

Motivated by Chern's conjecture about minimal submanifolds in $S^{n+p}$ (see [6]) and Theorem 1.3, we propose the following problem:

**Problem**. Let $x : M \to S^{n+p}$ be an $n$-dimensional compact Willmore submanifold in an $(n+p)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+p}$ with $\rho^2 := S - nH^2 =$ constant. Is the set of values of $\rho^2$ discrete?

**Acknowledgements:** The author began to do this research work during his stay in department of mathematics of Harvard University as a visitor in the academic year of 1999-2000. He would like to express his thanks to Prof. S.-T.Yau for his encouragement and help. He would like to express his thanks to Prof. C.L.Terng for her useful suggestions and help. He also thank the referee for some helpful comments which make this paper more readable than the original version of the paper.

## References

[1] Blaschke W., Vorlesungen uber Differential Geometrie -III, Springer, Berlin, 1929.

[2] Bryant R. L., A duality theorem for Willmore surfaces, *J. Differential Geom.* 20(1984), 23-53.

[3] Castro I. and Urbano F., Willmore surfaces of $R^4$ and the Whitney sphere, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 19(2001), 153-175.

[4] Chen B.Y., Some conformal invariants of submanifolds and their applications, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* 10(1974), 380-385.

[5] Cheng S.Y. and Yau S.-T., Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature, *Math. Ann.* 225(1977), 195-204.

[6] Chern S.S., Do Carmo M. and Kobayashi S., Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, in *Functional Analysis and Related Fields* (F.Brower, ed.), pp.59-75, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.

[7] Ejiri N., A counter example for Weiner's open question, *Indiana University Math. J.* 31(1982), 209-211.

[8] Guo Z., Li H. and Wang C.P., The second variation formula for Willmore submanifolds in $S^n$, *Results in Math.* 40(2001), 205-225.

[9] Huisken G., Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres, *J. Differential Geom.* 20(1984), 237-266.

[10] Langer J. and Singer D., The total squared curvature of closed curves, *J. Differential Geom.* 20(1984), 1-22.

[11] Lawson H.B., Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces, *Ann. of Math.* 89(1969), 187-197.

[12] Li A.-M. and Li J. M., An intrinsic rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere, *Arch. Math.* 58(1992), 582-594.

[13] Li H., Willmore hypersurfaces in a sphere, *Asian J. of Math.* 5(2001), 365-378.

[14] Li H., Willmore surfaces in $S^n$, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 21(2002), 203-213.

[15] Li H., Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space forms, *Math. Ann.* 305(1996), 665-672.

[16] Li H., Global rigidity theorems of hypersurfaces, *Ark. Mat.* 35(1997), 327-351.

[17] Li H. and Vrancken L., New examples of Willmore surfaces in $S^n$, Preprint, 2002.

[18] Li P. and Yau S.-T., A new conformal invariant and its applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces, *Invent. Math.* 69(1982),269-291.

[19] Montiel S., Willmore two-spheres in the four-sphere, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 352(2000), 4469-4486.

[20] Montiel S. and Ros A., Minimal immersions of surfaces by the first eigenfunctions and conformal area, *Invent. Math.* 83(1)(1986), 153-166.

[21] Pedit F. J. and Willmore T. J., Conformal geometry, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, XXXVI (1988), 237-245.

[22] Pinkall U., Hopf tori in $S^3$, *Invent. Math.* 81(1985), 379-386.

[23] Ros A., The Willmore conjecture in the real projective space, *Math. Research Letter* 6(1999), 487-493.

[24] Schoen R., Simon L. and Yau S.-T., Curvature estimates for minimal hypersurfaces, *Acta Math.* 134(1975), 275-288.

[25] Simons J., Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* 88(1968), 62-105.

[26] Thomsen G., Uber Konforme Geometrie. -I: Grundlagen der Konformen Flachentheorie, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg*, 3(1923), 31-56.

[27] Wang C.P., Moebius geometry of submanifolds in $S^n$, Manuscripta Math. 96(1998), 517-534.

[28] Weiner J., On a problem of Chen, Willmore, et., Indiana Univ. Math. J. 27(1978), 19-35.

[29] Willmore T.J., Total curvature in Riemannian geometry, Ellis Horwood Limited, 1982.

[30] Willmore T.J., Notes on embedded surfaces, Ann. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza, Iasi, Sect. I a Mat. (N.S.) 11B (1965), 493-496.

[31] Willmore T.J., Riemannian Geometry, Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993.

Permanent Address
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Tsinghua University
100084, Beijing
People's Republic of China
E-mail: hli@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

Present Address
Institut für Mathematik, MA 8-3
Technische Universität Berlin
Strasse des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin
Germany
E-mail: hli@math.tu-berlin.de